FILED

2008 JUL 21 AM 11: 43 ROBERT LUNDY CLERK US DISTRICT DOUNT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 P.O. BOX 23 LEMON GROVE, CALIFORNIA 3 -DEPUTY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 NUNC PRO TUNC Robert Lundy, JUL 1 0 2008 Plaintiff, 9 10 vs. 11 Alex and Janet Colmenero, Case No.: 08 CV 1153 JM CAB 12 Superior Court East County, 13 Defendant 14 15 MOTION FOR RESTRAINING ORDER / EMERGENCY INJUNCTION The defendants have violated the Court Orders and are complicit in keeping My 16 daughter against those issued orders. My spouse died in September, 2007, 17 Judge Lantz Lewis ordered from the bench, upon her death, we (my daughter and 18 I) would be reunited. The defendants were allowed to Joinder this case 19 through an illegal service. These Constitutional Right Violations of the law 20 are ways to continue to keep us apart. 21 The hearing held on July 2007, the Court Order stated, not to discuss Court 22 hearings with the minor child. The defendants had friends and relatives to 23 send affidavits signed and dated stating, my daughter said, whom she wanted 24 to live with. The Judge (Lewis) said, "the child is being brainwashed", and 25

to cease. The defendants again are complicit in parental alienation issues.

While in the custody of the defendants, my daughter hardly communicates, as 1 she did when her mother was alive. I truly believe she is either afraid or 2 3 intimidated because of her living arrangements. Our Civil and Constitutional Rights continue to be violated, especially when 4 the Family Law Code (3010), reads if one biological parent dies or abandons a 5 child, and other is not on drugs or mentally unstable, the child will live 6 7 with that parent. KF 8900.S 389 v3 [13:43],[13:44] a substantial threat that Plaintiff will 8 9 suffer irreparable injury if denied. The threatened injury out weighs any damage the injunction might cause to 10 defendant. This also, will be in the best interest of the child. 1.1 Recently, March, 2008, the defendants illegally wiretap the plaintiff, had an 12 interpreter translate the call and presented the information to Superior 13 Court. Wiretapping someone without their knowledge is a violation of an 14 15 amendment of the Constitution? 16 17 18 19 20 Dated this 8th day of July, 2008 21 22 23 P.O. BOX 23 LEMON GROVE, CALIFORNIA 24 IN FORMA PAUPERIS 25